Ultrahigh Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECRs)

Rui Huang

Outline

- Observation
- Spectrum
 - GZK cutoff
- Candidates and acceleration mechanism
- Spatial distribution
- composition
- Summary

Detection method

- Extensive air shower
 - secondary particles
 - Cherenkov radiation
- water Cherenkov detectors secondary particles :muon
- Fluorescence detectors
 - ionized nitrogen's radiation Water Cherenkov detectors

An ultra-high-energy CR (>10¹⁸ eV) [rate: ~ 1 km⁻² per week, and drops to 1 km⁻² per century for E>10²⁰ eV!]

Extensive air shower (EAS) ~million secondary particles

> Fluorescence detectors (of N₂ molecule, in UV)

Auger Observatory

Total surface area: ~3000 km² (1600 tanks)

Observatory

- Chinese contribution
 Tibet Asr 1989-now co: Japan
 ARGO-YBJ 2006-2013 co: Italy
 LHAASO constructing(Sichuan)
 electrons

 Wukong observatory
 - the High Resolution Fly's Eye (HiRes)
 - a pair of fluorescence telescopes that operated in Utah until 2006
- UHECRs –

>10¹⁸eV

- Pierre Auger Observatory (Auger)
 - a 3,000 km2 array of water Cherenkov stations with 1.5 km spacing





Kotera and Angela V. Olinto 2011

5

GZK cutoff

Greisen, 1966, PRL; Zatsepin & Kuzmin, 1966, JETPL

- In the frame of UHE particles, a CMB photon becomes a gamma-ray photon.
- pair production
 - threshold~0.8EeV=0.8*10¹⁸eV $p + \gamma_{CMB} \rightarrow p + e^+ + e^-$
- pion production
 - threshold~200EeV

$$p + \gamma_{CMB} \rightarrow p + \pi^{0}$$

 $p + \gamma_{CMB} \rightarrow n + \pi^{+}$





Candidates sources/acceleration mechanism



Fermi acceleration

• AGN jet

• ultra-relativistic shock





Unipolar inductor in neutron star assuming millisecond pulsar

dipole magnetic field unipolar inductor quadrupole field



Pulsar Astronomy by Lorimer and Kramer



Goldreich, P & Julian, W H. 1969

Anisotropy

• <100Mpc

- Early results from the Auger Observatory showed tentative correlation between the UHECR arrival directions and nearby BL Lacs objects
- Not substantiated by increased events



GZK cutoff

- UHECRs from ~100Mpc can't reach us, they should be produced nearby.
- Matter is distributed inhomogeneously
- Recently work, correlation with AGN (in figure below)
- need more data to confirm

Overdensity (along line of sight within 200 Mpc)



Dot: direction of cosmic rays E>55 EeV

shaded area: a smoothed density map of AGNs within 200 Mpc from 2MRS catalog over the Auger Observatory field of view (Abreu et al. 2010).

Composition

- <X_{max}>: the depth in the atmosphere of air shower
- the indicator of composition of primary particles
- <X_{max}> ∝ ln(E/A);E energy,A atomic mass
- <X_{max}^p> > <X_{max}^{Fe}>
- <X_{max}> of protons fluctuate more
- But HiRes argued the trend remains closer to light primaries.

unclear

derived from observed development and particle content of extensive shower



Summary

- Observation: extremely difficult
- Energy spectrum:
 - knee, ankle
 - Hint of GZK cutoff
- Origin and acceleration mechanism is still unsure
 - GRB,
 - AGN jet ,
 - neutron star,
 -
- Spatial distribution : anisotropy ?
- Composition
 - the detailed composition is still to be understood, but it's clear that primaries are not dominated by protons

• Thank you for listening!

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p}_{\gamma})^{2} = (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{p}_{\pi})^{2} \\ (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p}_{\gamma})^{2} = (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{p}_{\pi})^{2} \\ (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{p}_{\pi})^{2} = -(M_{n} + M_{\pi})^{2}c^{2} \\ (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{p}_{\pi})^{2} = -(M_{n} + M_{\pi})^{2}c^{2} \\ (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p}_{\pi})^{2} = (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p}_{\gamma}) \cdot (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p}_{\gamma}) \\ = \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}}^{2} + 2\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\gamma} + \mathbf{p}_{\gamma}^{2}, \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}}^{2} = -M_{p}^{2}c^{2} \quad \mathbf{p}_{\tau} = \left(\frac{E_{\tau}/c}{-E_{\tau}/c}\right) \\ \mathbf{p}_{\tau}^{2} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} - \left(\frac{E_{\tau}/c}{E_{p}/c}\right) \\ -M_{p}^{2}c^{2} + 2\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\gamma} = -(M_{n} + M_{\pi})^{2}c^{2}. \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\gamma} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} - \left(\frac{E_{p}/c}{E_{p}/c}\right) \\ -M_{p}^{2}c^{2} + 2\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\gamma} = -(M_{n} + M_{\pi})^{2}c^{2}. \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\gamma} = -\frac{E_{p}E_{\gamma}}{c^{2}} + \left(\frac{E_{p}}{c}\right)\left(\frac{-E_{\gamma}}{c}\right) \\ = \frac{-2E_{p}E_{\gamma}}{c^{2}}. \\ \mathbf{M}_{p}^{2}c^{2} + \frac{4E_{p}E_{\gamma}}{c^{2}} = (M_{n} + M_{\pi})^{2}c^{2} \\ \mathbf{E}_{p} = \frac{(M_{n}c^{2} + M_{\pi}c^{2})^{2} - (M_{p}c^{2})^{2}}{4E_{\gamma}} \\ \end{array}$$